

Programming Language Technology

Exam, 11 January 2024, 8.30–12.30 at Johanneberg Campus

Course codes: Chalmers DAT151, GU DIT231.

Exam supervision: Andreas Abel (+46 31 772 1731), visits at 9:30 and 11:30.

Grading scale: Max = 60p, MVG = 5 = 48p, VG = 4 = 36p, G = 3 = 24p.

Allowed aid: an English dictionary.

Exam review: Thu 18 January 2024 14.30-15.30 in room EDIT 3128.

Please answer the questions in English.

Question 1 (Grammars): Write a labelled BNF grammar that covers the following kinds of constructs of C:

- Program: `int main()` followed by a block
- Block: a sequence of statements enclosed between `{` and `}`
- Statement:
 - statement formed from an expression by adding a semicolon `;`
 - initializing variable declarations, e.g., `int x = e;`
 - assignment, e.g., `x = e;`
 - loop: `while` followed by a parenthesized expression and a block
- Atomic expression:
 - identifier
 - integer literal
 - function call with a single argument
 - pre-increment of identifier, e.g., `++x`
 - parenthesized expression
- Expression (from highest to lowest precedence):
 - atomic expression
 - addition `(+)`, left-associative
 - less-than comparison of integer expressions `(<)`, non-associative
- Type: `int` or `bool`

Lines starting with `#` are comments. An example program is:

```
#include <stdio.h>
#define printInt(i) printf("%d\n",i)
int main ()
{ int n = 42;  int i = 0;  int k = 0;
  while (k < 101) { n = k;  k = n + ++i; }
  printInt(n);
}
```

You can use the standard BNFC categories `Integer` and `Ident` and the `coercions` pragma. Do **not** use list categories via the `terminator` and `separator` pragmas!
(10p)

Question 2 (Lexing): An *non-nested C block comment* starts with `/*` and ends with `*/` and can have any characters in between (but not the comment-end sequence `*/` of course). Also, `/**` is *not* a valid comment.

1. Give a deterministic finite automaton for such comments with no more than 8 states. Remember to mark initial and final states appropriately.
2. Give a regular expression for such comments.

Work in the alphabet $\{S, A, c\}$ distinguishing 3 tokens: S for `'/'`, A for `'*'`, and c where c stands for *any other character*. (6p)

Question 3 (LR Parsing): Consider the following labeled BNF-Grammar (written in bnfc syntax). The starting non-terminal is S .

```

Start. S ::= M P      ;

MEmp.  M ::=          ;
MBin.  M ::= M A "*"  ;

PEmp.  P ::=          ;
PBin.  P ::= A "+" P  ;

X.     A ::= "x"      ;
Y.     A ::= "y"      ;

```

Step by step, trace the shift-reduce parsing of the expression

`x * y * y + x +`

showing how the stack and the input evolves and which actions are performed. (8p)

Question 4 (Type checking and evaluation):

1. Write syntax-directed *type checking* rules for the *statement* forms and blocks of Question 1. Observe the scoping rules for variables! You can assume a type-checking judgement for expressions.

Alternatively, you can write the type checker in pseudo code or Haskell. In any case, the typing environment must be made explicit. ~~(8p)~~(7p)

2. Write syntax-directed *interpretation* rules for the *expressions* of Question 1. You can leave out function calls.

Alternatively, you can write the interpreter in pseudo code or Haskell. A function `lookupVar` can be assumed if its behavior is described. In any case, the environment must be made explicit. ~~(6p)~~(5p)

Question 5 (Compilation):

1. *Statement by statement*, translate the function `main` of the example program of Question 1 to Jasmin. (Do not optimize the program before translation!)

To translate the call to `printInt`, assume a Java class `Runtime` with a method `void printInt(int)`.

Make clear which instructions come from which statement, and determine the stack and local variable limits. Please remember that JVM methods must end in a return instruction. (7p)

2. Give the small-step semantics of the JVM instructions you used in the Jasmin code in part 1 (except for `return` instructions). Write the semantics in the form

$$i : (P, V, S) \longrightarrow (P', V', S')$$

where (P, V, S) is the program counter, variable store, and stack before execution of instruction i , and (P', V', S') are the respective values after the execution. For adjusting the program counter, assume that each instruction has size 1. (7p)

Question 6 (Functional languages):

1. The following grammar describes a tiny simply-typed sub language of Haskell.

x	identifier
$n ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid -1 \mid 2 \mid -2 \mid \dots$	numeral
$e ::= n \mid e + e \mid x \mid \lambda x \rightarrow e \mid ee$	expression
$t ::= \text{Int} \mid t \rightarrow t$	type

Application $e_1 e_2$ is left-associative, the arrow $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ is right-associative. Application binds strongest, then addition, then λ -abstraction.

For the following typing judgements $\Gamma \vdash e : t$, decide whether they are valid or not. Your answer can be just “valid” or “not valid”, but you may also provide a justification why some judgement is invalid.

- (a) $x : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}, g : \text{Int} \quad \vdash x (y + 1) \quad : \text{Int}$
- (b) $h : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int} \quad \vdash \lambda y \rightarrow \lambda h \rightarrow (h + 1) + y \quad : \text{Int} \rightarrow (\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int})$
- (c) $k : (\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}) \rightarrow \text{Int} \quad \vdash k (\lambda f \rightarrow f) + 1 \quad : \text{Int}$
- (d) $x : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int} \quad \vdash \lambda f \rightarrow f (1 + f (f x)) \quad : (\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}) \rightarrow \text{Int}$
- (e) $f : (\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}) \rightarrow (\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}) \quad \vdash (\lambda i \rightarrow f i) (\lambda y \rightarrow f (\lambda h \rightarrow h) y) : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$

The usual rules for multiple-choice questions apply: For a correct answer you get 1 point for a wrong answer -1 points. If you choose not to give an answer for a judgement, you get 0 points for that judgement. Your final score will be between 0 and 5 points, a negative sum is rounded up to 0. (5p)

2. For each of the following terms, decide whether it evaluates more efficiently (in the sense of fewer reductions) in call-by-name or call-by-value. Your answer can be just “call-by-name” or “call-by-value”, but you can also add a justification why you think so. *Same rules for multiple choice as in part 1.* (5p)

- (a) $(\lambda x \rightarrow \lambda y \rightarrow x + x) (1 + 2) (3 + 4 + 5 + 6)$
- (b) $(\lambda x \rightarrow \lambda y \rightarrow x + x) (1 + 2 + 3 + 4) (5 + 6)$
- (c) $(\lambda x \rightarrow \lambda y \rightarrow y + y) ((\lambda z \rightarrow z z)(\lambda z \rightarrow z z)) (1 + 2 + 3)$
- (d) $(\lambda x \rightarrow \lambda y \rightarrow y + y) (\lambda u \rightarrow (\lambda z \rightarrow z z)(\lambda z \rightarrow z z)) (1 + 2 + 3 + 4)$
- (e) $(\lambda x \rightarrow x + x) ((\lambda y \rightarrow \lambda z \rightarrow z + z) (1 + 2 + 3) (4 + 5 + 6))$